

• UNAPPROVED •

SUMMARY OF BOARD MOTIONS

ApHC Board of Directors Meeting Unapproved Summary of Motions

April 1, 2006

South Coast Equestrian Center Las Vegas, Nevada

These motions are in draft form (unapproved) and will be finalized, published and distributed to ApHC members in accordance with Article IV, Section 9 of the Bylaws of the Appaloosa Horse Club and ApHC Rule 70.

Those board members present for all or part of the Board of Directors meeting on March 30, 2006, were President Frank Larrabee, Vice President Eric Beené, Larry Baker, Lisa Banks, Eddie Buchanan, Gene Carr, Gary Connolly, Dennis Dean, Don Ehlers, Norb Hansen, Jim Jirkovsky, Debby Letham, Laura Lyon, Chuck McWhirter, Glenn Popelka, David Schjeldahl, Skip Seifert, Jack Zuidema. ApHC Staff present were CEO Keri LeForce, Treasurer Tina Rea, ApHC Attorney Ron Landeck and Administrative Assistant Merida McClanahan, Marketing Coordinator Jill Williams, Journal Editor Diane Rice, IT Manager David O'Keefe, Marketing Assistant Krystina McCoy, Registrar Shonda Nelson, Judges Coordinator Holly Nelson, and Director of Public Relations and Communications Frank Holmes.

Motion #01-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve its July 2005, August 2005 and September 2005 board meeting minutes.

Made by David Schjeldahl. Seconded by Jack Zuidema.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #02-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve all motions on the attached April 2006 Consent Agenda as set forth below, with the exception that Motion #40-07-05 be considered with Approval/Disapproval motion agenda.

Attachment 1

ApHC Board of Directors
Consent Agenda, April 2006

Motion #32-07-05: The board of directors moves to: **Adopt recommendation #2 from the Rules Committee, "Add versatility award for walk/trot age group year-end awards."**

(Effective January 1, 2007)

Motion #33-07-05: The board of directors moves to **adopt recommendation #3 from the Rules**

Committee, change/addition to Rule 779.B. – to the first sentence, add the word "senior" – to read "Senior entries shall be shown in full bridles. Either Weymouth or Pelham bits may be used in full bridle (double-reined bridle) with brow band and cavesson – colored, silver or plain leather."
Add sentence: Junior entries may be shown in a snaffle as defined in Rule 770.A.3."

Intent: To clarify the allowed bits for this class. To allow junior horses to remain in a snaffle. To allow 3-year-old junior horses to maintain eligibility to show in a snaffle. To standardize our requirements for this class compared to other breeds.

(Effective January 1, 2007)

Motion #34-07-05: The board of directors moves to **adopt recommendation #4 from the Rules Committee, "To award a non-pro versatility year-end award, non-age-specific, for one horse— one rider."**

(Effective January 1, 2007)

Motion #35-07-05: The board of directors moves to **adopt recommendation #5 from the Rules Committee, to amend Rule 60.A. Pre-existing Rule 60.A.1.g. will become Rule 60.A.1.h. and add the following section 60.A.1.g. to read: "Any person found guilty and suspended for inhumane treatment or drug violation under ApHC rules shall not be eligible for induction into the Hall of Fame and/or Trainer of the Year."**

(Effective January 1, 2007)

Motion #36-07-05: The board of directors moves to **adopt recommendation #6 from the Rules Committee, "that Rule 60.A.1.a. be amended to add the following sentence at the end of the rules: In the event a fine is assessed, an indefinite period of suspension may be imposed pending payment of any such fine and/or a definite period of suspension may be imposed after payment in full of any such fine."**

(Effective January 1, 2007)

Made by Laura Lyon. Seconded by Glenn Popelka
Passed Unanimously

Motion #03-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Trail Committee recommendation #1 to implement the attached Trail Ride Guidebook with the corrections as noted.**

Attachment 2

**Trail Ride Managers' Guidebook
for recreational trail rides**

1. Crew composition for base camp rides
 - A. Ride Manager and/or Trail Leader/Trail Guide
 - B. Chief Scout and/or Trail Leader/Trail Guide
 - C. Trail Guide or Trail Leader
 - D. Ride Secretary. For the CJTR, this will be the ApHC Trail Coordinator.
Base camp rides will generally have a secretary unless the ApHC Trail Coordinator attends and serves as Ride Secretary.
 - E. Scouts (1 per 25 riders including Chief Scout)
Minimum of three scouts where one acts as Chief Scout, a second performs duties of Wrangler and the third positions near the center of the ride and posts at turns or hazards.
 - F. Ride Physician
 - G. Ride Veterinarian
For base-camp rides, may be on call where access to horses on the trail is reasonable and the ride size cannot justify the expense of a vet.
 - H. Ride Farrier
May be on call for base-camp rides.
 - I. Wrangler
Scout may perform this duty.
 - J. Cook crew where applicable
 - K. Others as required
2. Crew composition for progressive rides
 - A.-J. Same as for base-camp rides
 - K. Camp workers
 - L. Picket line crew
 - M. Water crew
 - N. Security
 - O. Camp Manager
 - P. Others as required (Historian is recommended for CJTR)
3. Crew responsibility
 - A. Ride Manager and/or Trail Leader/Trail Guide
 - i. Locate and secure suitable ride site and route in a timely manner
 - ii. Secure crew members
 - iii. Supervise, manage and direct all crew members
 - iv. Insure comfort and well being of all participants
 - v. Make meal arrangements
 - vi. Make comfort arrangements (Port-a-johns numbering no less than one per 15 participants with minimum of one mid-week service)
 - vii. Plan and prepare campsite
 - viii. Arrange for water for horses and humans

- ix. Arrange for feed where applicable
 - x. Determine and verify the length (miles) of each day's ride to comply with ApHC's award programs
 - xi. Give each day's orientation
 - xii. Secure permits for trail routes and property access in coordination with ApHC as appropriate
- B. Chief Scout and/or Trail Leader/Trail Guide
 - i. Supervise and monitor activities of all scouts
 - ii. May also function as Trail Leader
 - iii. Assist in locating ride route as needed
 - iv. Ensure safety of ride participants and crew
 - v. Assist Ride Manager in performance of his/her responsibilities
 - vi. Be familiar with all routes
 - vii. Be prepared to substitute routes if necessary
 - C. Trail Guide or Trail Leader
 - i. Assist in locating ride route as needed
 - ii. Ensure safety of ride participants and crew
 - iii. Be familiar with all routes
 - iv. Be prepared to substitute routes if necessary
 - D. Ride Secretary or Coordinator
 - i. Coordinates ride information between ApHC and ride manager
 - ii. Monitors paperwork and correspondence prior to, during and after the ride
 - iii. Secures awards and ride favors
 - iv. Keeps record of special requests related to ride
 - v. Secures medical information on ride participants and forwards that information to the Ride Physician
 - vi. Publishes information about type and quantity of feed when provided
 - E. Scouts
 - i. Supervise and maintain safe conduct of ride participants on trail and, where necessary, in camp
 - ii. Assist Chief Scout in location and preparation of trails as appropriate
 - iii. Greet and assist ride participants as they arrive in camp
 - iv. Assist drag crew as appropriate
 - v. In general, ensure that ride participants have their problems resolved on the trail and in camp
 - F. Ride Physician
 - i. Provides medical assistance during ride and in camp

- ii. Monitors health and safety of all participants
 - iii. Rides drag
- G. Ride Veterinarian
- i. Tends to the comfort and care of all horses on the ride
 - ii. Rides drag
- H. Ride Farrier
- Rides drag when on trail
- I. Ride Wrangler
- i. Leads pack animal with supplies
 - ii. Rides drag
 - iii. Acts as scout when not performing as Wrangler
- J. Camp Manager
- i. Responsible to Ride Manager
 - ii. Supervises camp setup, breakdown and transport
 - iii. Supervises all camp crew
 - iv. Provides input for securing camp crew as appropriate
 - v. Supervises food services
- K. Picket Line Crew
- i. Sets up and breaks down picket line
 - ii. Monitors safety and security of horses while they are on the line
 - iii. Security
 - iv. Primarily is responsible for quiet time security
 - v. Coordinates with picket line crew
4. ApHC responsibility
- A. Promote and advertise all rides
 - B. Accept registrations and collect pertinent information
 - C. Forward rider information to Ride Managers
 - D. Secure insurance
 - E. Secure contracts with crew and all property owners involved with the ride
 - F. Secure ride mementos
 - G. Assist Ride Manager in securing permit applications and permits as required
5. General rules and procedures for all rides
- A. Rules
- i. Safety of all participants and horses is of primary importance
 - ii. Only registered riders and/or others approved by the Ride Manager will be allowed in camp
 - iii. All participants must have submitted pertinent medical information
- iv. All participants must have signed ApHC liability waiver
 - v. No barefoot riders
 - vi. No bareback riders
 - vii. No riding double except in emergency
 - viii. Use of helmets is encouraged and recommended
 - ix. No stallions allowed
 - x. It is recommended that all horses be 3 years old or older.
 - xi. The official Ride Physician and/or Veterinarian have the authority to determine the ability of any rider and/or horse to participate in any portion of the ride route. The Ride Manager will uphold the decision or decisions of the Physician and/or Veterinarian.
 - xii. Only one horse per rider
 - xiii. No inhumane treatment will be allowed. The Ride Veterinarian will rule on any such alleged mistreatment. Violators will be banned from the ride and expelled from camp. They may be subject to disciplinary and/or criminal action.
 - xiv. No smoking is permitted while riding on the trail.
 - xv. No alcohol is allowed on the trail.
 - xvi. Use of illegal drugs is prohibited and the discovery of such will result in notification of local law enforcement agencies.
 - xvii. Pets will be caged or leashed at all times. They are not allowed on the trail or at group meals.
 - xviii. Hobbles are not allowed unless the horse is tied or held.
 - xix. Portable fencing is not allowed on the CJTR. It is permissible when appropriate on other rides.
 - xx. ATVs, motorbikes, mopeds or bicycles will not be allowed, except for use by the crew.
 - xxi. Individual campfires will not be allowed.
 - xxii. Scouts and other crew members, as representatives of the ApHC, have the authority to instruct participants and enforce rules.
 - xxiii. "Leave No Trace" protocol will be observed.
- B. Procedures
- Sunday evening meal begins the ride and should be preceded by an orientation. Each evening's meal should be preceded by a riders' meeting.

Orientation

- A. Introduction of crew
- B. Review of general ride rules and procedures
- C. Schedule of the week’s program and other pertinent information
- D. Daily riders meeting
 - i. Meal time schedule
 - ii. Departure time
 - iii. General conditions for the ride
 - iv. Other related activities
 - v. If trail markers will be employed, disseminate that information about “how” and “where” to all riders
- E. Usually Monday’s and Friday’s rides will be of shorter duration
 - i. A pace of 3 to 3.5 mph is desirable
 - ii. Comfort breaks are recommended every 1 to 2 hours.
 - iii. Attempt to arrange lunch stops near water or where water will be encountered shortly after lunch. Furnish water when necessary
 - iv. Keep scouts posted at all questionable turns on the trail or anywhere trail conditions may be hazardous

6. Crew compensation

- A. Ride Manager
 - i. 100% ride fee
 - ii. Expense account or contract
- B. Ride Physician
 - i. 100% ride fee
 - ii. Negotiated contract
- C. Ride Veterinarian
 - i. 100% ride fee
 - ii. Negotiated contract
- D. Chief Scout and/or Trail Leader/Trail Guide
 - 100% ride fee
- E. Scouts
 - 100% ride fee
- F. Ride Secretary
 - 100% ride fee
- G. Ride Farrier
 - 100% ride fee
- H. All others
 - Negotiated contract

7. Additional compensation

- A. ApHC CEO
 - 100% ride fee
- B. Employees of ApHC functioning in an official position
 - 100% ride fee
- C. ApHC Directors
 - 100% ride fee for one ride annually

D. Ride Managers

100% ride fee for one ride in addition to the ride they manage

- E. No individual in dual capacities (i.e. Director serving as a Ride Manager) may receive more than one bonus ride.

Made by Laura Lyon. Seconded by David Schjeldahl.

Passed Unanimously

(Effective January 1, 2007)

Motion #04-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #10 to amend ApHC Rule 408.C. to read:** *“Annually, by written ballot of the ApHC’s Executive Committee (ten [10] votes) and the Oklahoma Appaloosa Racing Association (OAR) (four [4] votes) and the Western Regional Appaloosa Racing Council (WRARC) (four [4] votes), champion running horses in each of thirteen (13) categories may be named. The two regional clubs must each designate four (4) individual voting members. Designated members must reside in different states, must be ApHC members in good standing, and may not be current voting ERC members. A horse must earn a minimum of \$10,000 in purse monies or have at least one graded stakes win or graded stakes placing in a race where results are recognized by the ApHC, to be eligible for the ERC year-end awards.”*

Intent: To include people who should be involved.

Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.

Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #05-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #11 to amend Rule 408.A. to read:** *“Annually, a fee of \$10 will be assessed for each horse, payable before the first official race start. Late payment of this fee may be made within 30 days subsequent to a horse’s first start by paying a late payment penalty of \$100. Non-payment of the assessment will not reflect on a horse’s past performance as records will be kept on all horses; however, if a horse qualifies for any ApHC award (s)he will be ineligible to receive it if the \$10 fee or subsequent late payment penalty has not been paid. Races run prior to the payment of the annual starter’s fee will not be considered in year-end calculations for any award. All racing year-end awards will be awarded to the owner or lessee on record as of December 31 of the qualifying year.”*

Intent: Increase revenue; allow horses racing to still participate, even if they were unaware.

Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.

Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #06-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve the Rules Committee recommendation #12 to amend Rule 408.C. to read: *“Annually, by written ballot of the ApHC’s Executive Race Committee, champion running horses in each of thirteen (13) categories may be named. A horse must earn a minimum of \$10,000 in purse monies and/or have at least one graded stakes win or graded stakes placing in a race where results are recognized by the ApHC, to be eligible for the ERC year-end awards.”*

Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #07-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve Rules Committee recommendation #13 to amend ApHC Rule 407.B.2. to read: *“Races restricted to those horses sold in a certain specific sale or sales.”* Delete ~~“with at least 100 yearlings consigned representing at least fifteen (15) stallions”.~~

Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #08-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve the Rules Committee recommendation #14 that ApHC Rules 407.B.3., 407.B.3.a. and 407.B.3.b. be deleted and written as: *“Races restricted to the progeny of a restricted stallion or group of stallions so listed by a race.”*

Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #09-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve the Non-pro Committee recommendation #4 that ApHC staff study the attached Novice Youth/Novice Non-pro Temporary Show Permit Proposal and prepare necessary rule changes and report on financial impact to Non-pro Committee at the July 2006 BOD meeting.

Attachment 3

**Novice Youth/Novice Non-pro
 Temporary Show Permits**
 Prepared By Cindy Mergaert,
 Judges’ Advisory Committee

It was brought to the attention of the Judges’ Advisory Committee that many of the youth exhibitors are riding non-owned horses for an unlimited length of time. These exhibitors are placing in classes, and

although they are not receiving any points since they do not own the horse, it is keeping points away from those showing in the classes trying to earn the points for year-end awards.

Often an individual likes to try a horse out at a show to see if it will work for them. AQHA has a permit program which allows both novice youth and novice amateur riders to ride a non-owned horse in novice classes only.

They must apply for the permit through the association, which allows them to have up to 10 permits. One permit equals one show (one judge), so if it is a four-judge show, you would use four permits at that show. Once they have used their 10 permits, they are not allowed to apply for more.

When they are showing a horse on a permit, the points they earn will go towards their 25-point cap per novice skill set, which helps keep people from using these as a way to practice and stay in the novice classes.

This system allows people to try out horses they may buy and could also help get new people started showing at the ApHC shows.

We would probably have to modify the number of permits allowed since most of our shows have four judges. We may go with 12–16 permits, which would be three or four weekend shows. This program would help keep people from showing all year long on a non-owned horse, but still allow people to try out new horses in the show pen.

Made by Jack Zuidema. Seconded by Debby Letham.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #10-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve the Non-pro Committee recommendation #6 that ApHC staff study and develop a proposal with necessary rule changes and report to the Non-pro Committee at the July 2006 BOD meeting on financial impact for a “Participation” element to the qualification system for Non-pros to show at the World Championship Appaloosa Show.

Made by Jack Zuidema. Seconded by Debby Letham.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #11-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve the Non-pro Committee recommendation #2 that ApHC staff is to prepare necessary rule changes and report on financial impact of the attached Non-pro/Youth leased horse proposal and present the same to the Non-pro Committee at the July 2006 BOD meeting.

Attachment 4

Non-pro/Youth Competition Lease Proposal

Authored by Tracy Meisenbach
for the Regional Club Advisory Committee
February 27, 2006

Objective: To allow Non-pro or Youth competitors to lease an Appaloosa for a limited amount of time in order to experience showing or competitive trail riding without undertaking the initial purchase expense of a horse. This program will give people just entering the competitive equine world a chance to try out an Appaloosa in the ApHC Non-pro and Youth programs and entice them to purchase an Appaloosa and spend money within the breed.

Rules:

- Non-pro or Youth may lease a horse for two competition years. (Competition years run from January 1 to December 31, regardless of the date the lease is entered into.)
- Lease years do not have to be consecutive, nor does the same horse have to be leased for both years.
- Leases are good for *one* competition year, and must be renewed if continued for a second year.
- Non-pro or Youth competitors may lease only *one* horse for competition during each show year. Should the leased horse die or become incapacitated during the competition year, a review of the lease and the acceptance of a new leased horse is at the discretion of the Executive Committee. Leases may not be changed or implemented within 30 days of the National or World shows.
- Lessee cannot have won a World or National championship within two years prior to the lease. Championships won during the lease do not count against the lease.
- Competition lease years cannot be run consecutively from youth to non-pro competition years. When a youth rider advances to Non-pro, there must be at least a two-year gap before a non-pro lease can be implemented.

Criteria for leased horses are as follows:

- The horse has not won a National or World championship in any event within the preceding two years.
- The horse cannot have won a major distance or endurance race within the preceding two years.
- The horse cannot be shown in Open ApHC classes by anyone other than Non-pro or Youth

lessee/exhibitor. If the horse is shown in an Open class by anyone other than the lessee, the accrued points will be forfeited and the competition lease invalidated.

- The horse cannot be ridden in Open trail competitions by anyone other than the lessee.

*Required additions to Rule 257,
Rule 801 section A, Rule 900 section J*

There would also need to be a small increase in lease fees, perhaps by \$5, since the horses leased would have to be researched to confirm that they have not won a National or World show title in the previous two years.

Pros:

- Allows a person to try out Appaloosa showing without initial expense
- Gives older horses an opportunity to retain market value and acquired skills
- Allows breeders and trainers to continue to promote their bloodlines as producing good family based horses
- Fills a much-needed niche for after-market horses
- Allows families that have foster children or exchange students to include these children in the Appaloosa show experience
- Increases the Appaloosa presence with first-time horse owners, 4-H kids and any youth or beginner rider programs

Cons: There is a slight potential for abuse of the system if a trainer starts placing very high-caliber horses with lessees in order to market them. However, with the limitations on who can show the horse during the year and the stipulations on previous win records, this will be reduced. Currently the standard method of allowing Youth or Non-pro exhibitors to show horses is to do reverse transfers (which are technically illegal according to ApHC rules) and have the Youth or Non-pro listed as owner of the horse. This method does have legal ramifications, not only to the participants but to the Club should an ownership dispute arise.

There is also the argument that if people can lease a horse why would they buy one? As a small breeder, I see the potential for increasing my market if I let a person try one out, as opposed to asking people to spend a great deal of money on an unknown factor. I have leased, and leased out, horses in the past and I have had really good experiences. I also grew up on a military base that had a horse-leasing

program for military personnel and it was a very positive experience, resulting in several people purchasing horses and continuing their equine-based lifestyle. Breeders who are concerned with people getting horses for free need to evaluate the potential buyers who will use this program. Most breeders sell weanlings and yearlings, horses that are unsuited to first-time buyers. However, if an individual is given a few years to lease and ride a sensible horse, he just might make his first purchase of a young animal from a respectable breeder, thereby increasing the benefits of this program.

Examples: A youth exhibitor leases a walk/trot horse for first year of showing. The family is non-horse-owning prior to this point. After a successful walk/trot show year, the family purchases that horse, or another similar walk/trot horse, and continues to show for several more years in walk/trot classes. When the child graduates to canter classes, he again leases a horse that fits the criteria and has another good year. At the end of that year he purchases a horse that suits his abilities and continues to show.

A person finally decides to take the plunge and try out horses, usually fulfilling a youthful desire or dream. With the current disposable income available to middle aged workers, more people are taking the plunge and investing in their dreams. But just because they have the money doesn't mean they want to waste it. Instead, they tend to examine this situation clearly and see the pluses and minuses. Buying a horse is expensive, so the chance to try it out first is a much better proposition for most people. Under this program she leases an Appaloosa from a local trainer and during her first year she shows and has some moderate success, while learning to appreciate and understand the Appaloosa. After the first year, she buys a mid-level horse and shows for several more years. When she decides she wants to specialize in one event, like cutting, she leases a nice competitive horse and gives the sport a try. After that year she then buys a top-of-the-line cutter and stays within the breed and sport as a home-grown promoter.

Made by Jack Zuidema. Seconded by Debby Letham.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #12-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve the Non-pro Committee recommendation #03** that ApHC staff study the attached Novice Eligibility Proposal and prepare necessary rule changes and report on financial impact to the Non-pro Committee at the July 2006 BOD meeting.

Attachment 5

Novice Eligibility Based on Skills Sets or Categories

Prepared By Cindy Mergaert,
Judges' Advisory Committee

When given the task to find out about a novice program that allows people to point out of certain divisions instead of the blanket program we currently have, I went to two associations that already have these programs in place. I was able to find information from both AQHA and APHA on how they run their novice programs.

Both associations group different classes in skill sets/categories that are of similar disciplines. I found it interesting that they each grouped the classes differently in their designated skill sets/categories.

AQHA has 13 different skill sets. Some skill sets have only one discipline in a set and others have 4-5 per set. They have had these skill sets for the novice division as well as another program for riders outside of novice called The Limited Rider Program. The skill sets are as follows:

- A. *Halter*
- B. *Reining and working cow horse*
- C. *Trail*
- D. *Western riding*
- E. *Team penning, barrel racing, pole bending and stake race*
- F. *Jumping, working hunter, green working hunter, hunter hack, and equitation over fences*
- G. *Western pleasure, 2-year-old pleasure and hunter under saddle*
- H. *Horsemanship and hunt seat equitation*
- I. *Tie-down roping, breakaway roping, heading and heeling*
- J. *Cutting*
- K. *Showmanship*
- L. *Pleasure driving*
- M. *Versatility ranch horse*

A person who wants to be eligible for the novice program or the limited rider program fills out an application where they list any skill sets that they already have 25 or more points in or have won a world or world reserve, national or national reserve championship title in any equine breed organization or have won a total of \$5,000 in cash/prizes in any

equine breed. They would then not be eligible for those skill sets, but would be eligible for the others if they hadn't recently competed in and obtained more than the required number of points, championships, or prizes and money earned.

Once a person reaches the 25-point limit, they are officially out of that skill set at the end of the calendar year. They give novice achievement awards to the individuals that complete a skill set and they award a belt buckle for the first skill set completed. Riders in the limited rider program are eligible for year-end and high-point awards in the limited division.

I spoke with a person in the AQHA Show Department that said much of the championships and money/prizes won are being taken on the person's word and they have no way to police the other association wins without actually calling the associations about the individual. Complaints are investigated individually.

There are several good marketing brochures listed on the Internet under the AQHA web site that outline the programs for the novice and limited rider programs.

The APHA novice program divides its classes into categories. There are 12 categories for the novice amateur and novice youth with the exception that the Category 4 classes are not offered to the youth division. The categories are as follows:

- Category 1: *Barrel racing, goat tying, pole bending and stake race*
- Category 2: *Western riding and reining*
- Category 3: *Hunter hack, jumping and working hunter*
- Category 4: *Pleasure driving and utility driving (no youth)*
- Category 5: *Showmanship*
- Category 6: *Breakaway roping, tie-down roping, heading, heeling and steer stopping*
- Category 7: *Western pleasure*
- Category 8: *Hunter under saddle*
- Category 9: *Horsemanship*
- Category 10: *Hunt seat equitation and hunt seat equitation over fences*
- Category 11: *Trail*
- Category 12: *Cutting, working cow horse and team penning*

The qualifications for a novice youth or amateur are the same in that they cannot have earned 20 points in open, youth or amateur classes, or 50 novice

youth/amateur points in a category to be eligible to compete in that category. Once they start the year in a certain category, they are able to continue showing in that novice category until the end of the calendar year even if they obtain the 50 points in the middle of the year.

The APHA has two separate applications for the novice youth and novice amateur on which applicants must list the performance points they have previously earned in any equine associations.

Developing a program similar to these will help increase our entries and possibly draw more exhibitors to our shows. It will allow people to try new classes and compete with others at their skill level in that class. We would have to organize our skill sets/categories to meet the classes we offer.

The biggest problem we would face when implementing this type of program would be changing our current computer program to flag people who have pointed out of their respective skill set/categories at the end of a calendar year. This would require modifying our current system significantly.

Made by Jack Zuidema. Seconded by Debby Letham.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #13-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve the Iowa Appaloosa Association charter application.**

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Laura Lyon.

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| <i>Larry Baker</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Lisa Banks</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Eric Beené</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Eddie Buchanan</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Gene Carr</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Gary Connolly</i> | <i>Abstain</i> |
| <i>Dennis Dean</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Don Ehlers</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Norb Hansen</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Jim Jirkovsky</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Frank Larrabee</i> | <i>Chair</i> | <i>Debby Letham</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Laura Lyon</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Chuck McWhirter</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Glenn Popelka</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>David Schjeldahl</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Skip Seifert</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Jack Zuidema</i> | <i>No</i> |

Failed

Motion #14-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Marketing Committee recommendation #01** to approve the marketing plan as presented by the marketing staff.

Made by Dennis Dean. Seconded by Larry Baker.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #15-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Show Committee recommendation #01** that the ApHC President appoint an ad hoc committee to study the Ranch Horse/Field Hunter/Road Hack proposal and report

recommendations to the show committee. Members appointed to the committee include Dennis Dean, Gary Connolly, Tracy Meisenbach, Leslie Goolsby, Jim Jirkovsky and Debby Letham.

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Debby Letham.

Passed Unanimously

Motion #16-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Planning and Review Committee recommendation #02** that the ApHC President appoint an ad hoc committee to study the National Point Fee system and report recommendations to the Planning and Review Committee. Ad hoc committee members appointed include Dennis Dean, Keri LeForce, Norb Hansen and Skip Seifert.

Made by Dennis Dean. Seconded by Gary Connolly.

Passed Unanimously

Motion #17-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Planning and Review Committee recommendation #03** to accept the attached Jackson Show Plan Proposal, including the division of money and the proposed show activities.

Attachment 6

Jackson Show Plan Proposal

There will be a total of \$90K in payouts
 All classes will have a \$5K payout
 Payouts will be for 6 placings: 1st \$2K, 2nd \$1K, 3rd \$1K, 4th \$500, 5th \$250, 6th \$250

Show venue will highlight two Finals Nights. Each Finals Night will be on Friday at 7:30 p.m.

Finals Nights will require paid admission or exhibitor buttons.

Show Buttons will cost \$10 each. Each horse entered in any class at the National Show or World Championship Youth Show will receive one Show Button that is good for an individual admittance at no charge, to either or both Finals Nights. Exhibitors may purchase Show Buttons for half price.

AYA can purchase Finals Nights tickets at half price from the ApHC, sell them at the regular price and keep the difference for a fundraiser.

It is estimated that there will be 700 seats provided for Show Button and AYA seats. The remaining seats will be eligible for regular price admission of \$10.

First Finals Night to feature:

- Stump race (Games Class) Ages 14–18
- 1 hour bracket—2005—31 entries
- CMSA (Cowboy Mounted Shooting Association) class @10 riders per hour, class estimated at 1 to 1.5 hr
- Entertainment/exhibition by Riata Ranch Cowboy Girls (details pending)

Second Finals night to feature:

- Rope race (Games Class) Open
- Most Colorful (Halter) Open
- Midnight Madness at Trade Show—Vendor sales, giveaways, drawings, raffles
- Concert
- Performance Sale—150 Appaloosas sold in Trade Mart after events. All horses must have earned national points within the previous six months.

Other payout classes:

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Hunter Hack—English Class | |
| <i>Ages 13 under</i> | 2005—16 entries |
| Stump Race—Games Class | |
| <i>Ages 13 Under</i> | 2005—25 entries |
| Trail—Working Class | |
| <i>Ages 13–15</i> | 2005—22 entries |
| Western Pleasure—Western Class | |
| <i>Ages 13–15</i> | 2005—40 entries |
| Western Pleasure—Western Class | |
| <i>Ages 16–18</i> | 2005—42 entries |
| Trail—Working Class | |
| <i>Ages 16–18</i> | 2005—xx entries |
| Western Riding—Western Class | |
| <i>Ages 14–18</i> | 2005—xx entries |
| Steer Daubing—Cattle Class | |
| <i>Ages 18 and under</i> | 2005—xx entries |
| Reining—Working Class | |
| <i>Ages 14–18</i> | 2005—xx entries |
| Hunter under Saddle—English Class | |
| <i>Ages 16–18</i> | 2005—xx entries |
| Non-pro Western Pleasure—Western Class | |
| <i>Ages 35 and Over</i> | 2005—xx entries |
| Non-pro Stake Race—Games Class | |
| <i>All ages</i> | 2005—xx entries |
| Non-pro Judged Heeling—Cattle Class | |
| <i>All ages</i> | 2005—xx entries |
| Non-pro Hunter under Saddle—English Class | |
| <i>All ages</i> | 2005—xx entries |

**2008 National Show
 Walk/trot and Leadline Awards**

Under each of the five judges, award the following in Show Bucks*:

- 1st \$15, 2nd–5th \$10, and
- 6th–10th \$5
- Totaling \$80 x 5 judges = \$400
- \$400 x 11 classes = \$4,400

Final placings of classes give the following in Show Bucks*:

1st \$25, 2nd \$20, 3rd–4th \$15, 5th–7th \$10, and 8th–10th \$5
 Totaling \$120 x 11 classes = \$1,320

Total Show Bucks for the 11 classes = \$5,720

English high-point, Western high-point, and Games high-point:

1st—Toshiba Laptop (value \$500), 2nd—Gift (value \$200), 3rd–4th—Gift (value \$100 each), 5th–6th—Gift (value \$50 each), and 7th–10th—Gift (value \$25 each)
 Totaling \$3,300

Stall Giveaway for Walk/trot and Leadline youth entries

All Walk/trot and Leadline youth entries will be pooled at the 2007 National/World Youth Show for random drawings for a free stall at the 2008 National/World Youth Show. Drawing will need to be completed on the last day of the event to ensure all entries have been received. This is estimated to be 80–100 entries.

Free stalls can be transferred to any family member or youth exhibitor, but may not be sold or otherwise transferred.

Recipient stall value is \$175. ApHC cost for “free” stall is as follows:

30 stalls @ \$175 each = \$5,250 lost revenue less \$1,650 totals \$3,600
 20 stalls @ \$175 each = \$3,500 lost revenue less \$1,650 totals \$1,850

*Show Bucks

Show bucks will be non-negotiable dollars that can be used for purchase of merchandise from trade show vendors. Some discussion included whether Show Bucks can be redeemed at trade show vendors for actual U.S. currency.

Made by Gary Connolly. Seconded by Eric Beené.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #18-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve attached Planning and Review Committee recommendation #04** (of a Regional Club Advisory Committee recommendation) that, by special permit, the ApHC allow, two or more regional clubs to hold two (2) 4-judge shows back to back with such show approval to be issued only in Territorial Zones that have insufficient entries and participation to qualify based on the National Point System and request that staff prepare proposed, necessary rule changes for consideration by the ApHC BOD at the July 2006 meeting.

Made by Eddie Buchanan. Seconded by Norb Hansen.

Attachment 7

The Regional Club Advisory Committee recommends that one Zone Permit be issued to any zone exhibiting critical signs of downward trends and/or exhibitor participation. Each Zone Permit will be valid for one year and will need to be reapplied for each year. It is also recommended that this program be re-evaluated after the two (2) year trial period.

Key indicators in downward trends should be:

1. Zones that have exhibited a significant loss in number of ApHC-approved shows
2. Substantial loss in number of entries throughout an entire zone
3. Zones exhibiting steady membership declines over the past 2 or 3 years
4. A zone where regional clubs are already cooperating to host a show
5. A zone where total entries at 2 or more multi-judge shows have been less than 100 per judge
6. The application must exhibit resources to support hosting a show of this size
7. A zone that has had shows cancelled due to insufficient number of horses/entries to host an approved show
8. Zones with insufficient entries in the classes required for ApHC approval, which results in these classes being non-pointed.
9. A zone exhibiting declines in the number of registrations/transfers over the past 2 or 3 years.
10. A zone where most of the horses qualifying for the World Show have done so through the regional club point system.

To obtain the Zone Permit for any of the above listed zones, a minimum of three regional clubs in good standing with the Appaloosa Horse Club and chartered within the hosting zone must agree to co-sponsor a Zone Show. Any regional club in good standing with the Appaloosa Horse Club and chartered within the hosting zone will be eligible to co-point a Zone Show. A Zone Show will be eligible for approval of up to eight (8) judges. The Zone Show must be held over three (3) or more days with no more than four judges actively judging at the same time. The Zone Show must be at least 300 air miles from another approved show and may not be held on the same days as any other show within the hosting zone. Approval fees will be \$50 per judge. Applications for the Zone Show permit must be postmarked no later than November 15 of the year prior to the show and the Appaloosa Horse Club Show Department will base

approval on the best-submitted applications. Minimum class and horse requirements will remain the same as any other show. The first year to host a Zone Show will be 2007.

Purpose:

1. The purpose of this motion is to stimulate growth and exhibition of the Appaloosa throughout some of the zones that are demonstrating low growth rates.
2. In addition, this should help the economic feasibility for regional clubs by working together and not absorbing the financial strains of being the sole host of a regional show.
3. Furthermore, exhibitors seeking World qualification or year-end award recognition are realizing a large economic impact by decreasing show attendance in approved zones.
4. In 2002, 2003 and 2004, there was only one show in the top 10 by entry per judge west of the Mississippi River.
5. Additionally members seeing increases in exhibitor numbers should be encouraged to re-enter the arena.

SHOW STATISTICS

NUMBER OF APPROVED SHOWS:

This table represents the number of shows held along with numbers indicating how many offered open, youth and non-pro classes. Each approved show represents one judge. The single- and double-show columns represent single shows (one judge) and double shows (two or more judges).

| Year | Shows Held | Percentage Change | Approved Open Shows | Approved Non-pro Shows | Approved Youth Shows | Approved Single Shows | Approved Double Shows |
|-------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 2005 | 686 | - 03.2% | 686 | 678 | 671 | 24 | 662 |
| 2004 | 709 | + 04.6% | 694 | 680 | 678 | 31 | 663 |
| 2003 | 678 | - 05.0% | 678 | 672 | 661 | 36 | 642 |
| 2002 | 713 | + 03.2% | 713 | 706 | 694 | 37 | 676 |
| 2001 | 691 | + 02.0% | 689 | 685 | 680 | 31 | 660 |
| 2000 | 677 | - 13.5% | 675 | 672 | 670 | 29 | 648 |
| 1999 | 783 | - 02.0% | 781 | 771 | 769 | 39 | 743 |
| 1998 | 802 | - 10.0% | 800 | 791 | 788 | 51 | 751 |
| 1997 | 887 | + 10.0% | 890 | 879 | 856 | 49 | 843 |
| 1996 | 803 | + 10.0% | 801 | 792 | 775 | 77 | 726 |
| 1995 | 732 | + 10.0% | 733 | 728 | 705 | 81 | 650 |

NUMBER OF EVENTS:

The next chart shows the number of places worldwide where exhibitors can attend an ApHC-approved show. These are events exclusive of judge structure.

In 2005, there were 686 judges (see chart above) at 216 shows (events). Declining numbers in this area reflect fewer opportunities for exhibitors to show and also fewer sales and marketing opportunities within the Appaloosa show industry.

| Year | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | 1992 | 1990 | 1988 | 1985 |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------|------|
| Number of Events | 216 | 221 | 223 | 234 | 220 | 189* | 185 | 197 | 216 ¹ | 226 ¹ | 249 ¹ | 269 ¹ | 293 | 345 |

* Year that rule change took effect limiting the number of judges to a maximum of four.

¹ Statistics provided by Robert Lapp

ENTRY STATISTICS FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR:

This table represents total entries processed and indicates the increase or decrease in the number of entries compared to the previous year.

| Year | Halter Entries | Performance Entries | Non-pro Entries | Youth Entries | Total Entries | Percentage Change |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 2005 | 35,219 | 54,349 | 59,093 | 95,209 | 243,870 | - 4.1 % |
| 2004 | 37,900 | 56,445 | 62,991 | 97,017 | 254,353 | + 8.0 % |
| 2003 | 37,218 | 54,504 | 56,539 | 86,942 | 235,203 | - 1.9 % |
| 2002 | 37,933 | 56,518 | 61,380 | 83,827 | 239,658 | + 4.6 % |
| 2001 | 37,491 | 55,411 | 60,323 | 75,834 | 229,059 | + 3.9 % |
| 2000 | 36,472 | 52,519 | 56,223 | 75,066 | 220,280 | - 19.3 % |
| 1999 | 45,090 | 65,496 | 69,316 | 93,038 | 272,940 | + 8.9 % |
| 1998 | 43,621 | 59,086 | 62,350 | 85,517 | 250,574 | - 4.6 % |
| 1997 | 45,108 | 63,262 | 66,579 | 87,832 | 262,781 | + 10 % |
| 1996 | 42,160 | 56,172 | 59,720 | 80,802 | 238,854 | + 14.4 % |
| 1995 | 37,208 | 45,394 | 49,495 | 76,614 | 208,711 | + 13.8 % |

- | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------------|-----|
| Larry Baker* | No | Lisa Banks | No |
| Eric Beené | No | Eddie Buchanan | Yes |
| Gene Carr | No | Gary Connolly..... | Yes |
| Dennis Dean* | Yes | Don Ehlers | Yes |
| Norb Hansen | Yes | Jim Jirkovsky | Yes |
| Frank Larrabee..... | Chair | Debby Letham | Yes |
| Laura Lyon | Yes | Chuck McWhirter | No |
| Glenn Popelka..... | Yes | David Schjeldahl..... | Yes |
| Skip Seifert*..... | No | Jack Zuidema..... | Yes |

*Skip Seifert voted No because he doesn't agree with the permit not having a time limit. Larry Baker voted No because the permit needs to be more exclusive. Dennis Dean voted Yes to forward to staff for a study, not to approve the proposal as is, or at this time.

Passed

Motion #19-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Planning and Review Committee recommendation #05 to add Rule 400.I. International Agreements**, which will read as follows:

400.I. International Agreements

The ApHC Board of Directors is authorized to enter into agreements, at its discretion, with international entities and/or organizations, for the purposes of promoting, enhancing and improving the Appaloosa breed. In entering into any such agreements, the ApHC Board of Directors is expressly authorized, at its discretion, to modify and/or waive the strict application of the ApHC fee schedule and/or membership rules and to create registration incentives as determined by the ApHC Board of Directors to be in the best interests of the ApHC.

Made by Skip Seifert. Seconded by Chuck McWhirter.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #20-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Planning and Review Committee recommendation #06 to endorse the U.S./ApHC Brazil partnership as proposed.**

Made by Eric Beené. Seconded by Laura Lyon.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #21-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Planning and Review Committee recommendation #07** that computer programming be accomplished to obtain information on all-time leading horses in all classes and that staff will provide a report outlining staff time requirements and financial impact of such programming needs to the ApHC Board of Directors at its July 2006 meeting.

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Laura Lyon.
Passed Unanimously
(Effective immediately)

Motion #22-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Planning and Review recommendation #08** that Iron Horse Award entries will receive points for this award on a sliding scale based on placings and the number of entries in the class.

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Laura Lyon.
Passed Unanimously
(Effective immediately)

Motion #23-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Planning and Review recommendation #10** to:

1) Amend Rule **30.E. REFUSING TO PROVIDE INFORMATION**, to read:

30.e. Refusing any reasonable request by the ApHC, **its officers, committees, or agents** for assistance in locating, identifying, inspecting, examining, **cooperating with any testing and/or identification procedures, providing certificates of registration**, obtaining information, **and/or answering promptly and truthfully any inquiry** concerning a horse which the person owned, was the owner of or had control of the sire or the dam of (a) which has been registered, ~~or~~ **identified, or listed on any report filed** with the ApHC, (b) for which a registration application **or listing on any report** has been filed with the ApHC, or (c) which is alleged to be an ancestor of a registered horse, or identified horse, or horse listed on any report filed with the ApHC, or horse to be registered.

2) Add Rule 200.I., as follows:

Rule 200.I. **The ApHC, its agents and/or inspectors, shall have a right of access to farms, ranches, breeding facilities and any other places or facilities owned, leased and/or controlled by members and/or non-members, where any horse: (a) registered, identified and/or listed on any report filed with the ApHC; (b) for which a registration application has been filed with the ApHC; or (c) which is alleged to be an ancestor of a registered horse, or identified horse, or horse listed on any report filed with the ApHC or horse to be registered; is, or to the best of the ApHC's knowledge, may be located at any such place or facility, for the purpose of inspecting any such horses, assisting breeders or owners with ApHC administrative requirements, taking photographs, undertaking any testing and/or identification procedures, completing inspections and/or reports and any other purpose reasonably related to ApHC's registration processes, as determined by the ApHC, its agents and/or inspectors. The member and/or non-member will be presented a letter from the ApHC at the time such access is demanded and no prior notice shall be required. Any such inspection may occur at any time during the year and shall occur during daylight hours for such reasonable time as may be necessary, in ApHC's sole discretion, to accomplish such purposes.**

Made by Eric Beené. Seconded by Laura Lyon.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #24-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Planning and Review Committee recommendation #11** to approve the concept of a Territorial Awards

Program Proposal as outlined below and request ApHC staff prepare a complete program proposal, including any necessary rule changes, to be presented to the ApHC Board of Directors at its July 2006 meeting.

Attachment 8

Territorial Awards

1. The program will be based on cash incentives offered at the Territorial level. By working at the Territorial level, these awards can be significant enough to have an impact.
2. Nominations
 - a. Horses must be nominated annually but there shall be no fee associated with this nomination.
 - b. Horses shall be nominated for one specific Territory plus one adjoining Zone in a Territory adjacent to their nomination Territory.
 - c. Points will begin to accrue at the time the nomination is received at the offices of the ApHC. Proof of delivery is required.
3. Structure of awards
 - a. Awards will be based on National Points.
 - b. Awards will be given annually in each Territory.
 - c. Points will be accumulated within the nominated calendar year.
 - d. Winner collects \$2,000
 - e. Reserve collects \$1,000
 - f. In case of ties in any territory, monies will be combined and then distributed in equal shares.
 - g. Awards will be given in three (3) categories.
 1. These categories are grouped into:
 - a. Open
 - b. Non-pro
 - c. Combination of Open and Non-pro
 2. The combination of classes in each category will vary on an annual basis.
 3. Examples of categories
 - a. For year one
 - (1) Open—All open western pleasure, open halter
 - (2) Non-pro—western riding, western reining, stumps, trail
 - (3) Combined—Non-pro hunter under saddle, Non-pro halter mares, open most colorful, open western riding
 - b. For year two
 - (1) Open—Nez Perce stakes, hunter hack, pleasure driving
 - (2) Non-pro—Non-pro halter mares, all western pleasure

- (3) Combined—open reining, open trail, Non-pro roping (judged), Non-pro halter stallions
- c. For year three—a different grouping of classes
 - (1) Combinations are endless. Attempting to balance the classes within the categories while stimulating show participation should be a foremost consideration.

4. Intent

- a. To stimulate and reward show participation at the Regional level through Territorial competition
- b. To reward show participants who may elect to not compete at the National/World shows.
- c. To allow show participants an opportunity for recognition and rewards when they are limited by geographical constraints (explanation—if you show in Territory I, you will compete at your peer level of numbers rather than being required to attain the level [numbers] of competition you would encounter if you competed in Territory VI. The desire is a formula for success at the Territorial level rather than the entire country).
- d. To strengthen regional clubs by providing incentives for participation at their events

Made by Gary Connolly. Seconded by Skip Seifert.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #25-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #01 for the addition to Rule 727.B. of “only of horse and rider return to the arena.”**

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Gary Connolly.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #26-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #02** to adopt the attached Performance Permit rules and fee schedule with an effective date of January 1, 2007.

Attachment 9

Page numbers and rules indicated are from 2006 *Official Handbook of the ApHC.*

- Page 9, Fee Schedule
 - Performance Permit fees
 - Weanling; Appaloosa x Appaloosa....\$150**
 - Weanling and older;**
 - Appaloosa x Approved Breed....\$300**
 - Yearling; Appaloosa x Appaloosa....\$250**
 - Yearling and older;**
 - Appaloosa x Approved Breed....\$500**
 - ***(The following to appear in the 2007 Rule Book only)*
 - From Performance Permit's effective date through the close of 2007, eligible Appaloosas may enroll at the applicable weanling fees (Appaloosa x Appaloosa \$150, Appaloosa x Approved Breed \$300) regardless of age. These introductory enrollment fees shall expire at the close of 2007 and the applicable fees for yearling and older will be as above beginning January 1, 2008.)**

- Page 46, Rule 109.
 - Change as follows:
 - 109. Certified Pedigree Option (CPO)**
 - This voluntary program allowed eligible non-characteristic horses to show in ApHC-approved or -sponsored events and was in effect from 1983 through 2006. If a horse had completed the Certified Pedigree Option, it received a CN prefix before the registration number. If a horse entered in the CPO Program (CN) develops Appaloosa characteristics, it may be advanced to Regular (#) registration classification and the CN prefix will be replaced with a pound sign (#). Horses registered with a CPO (CN) classification which are used for breeding purposes must be bred to an Appaloosa registered in the Regular (#) category in order for the resulting foal to be eligible for ApHC registration.**

- Page 48, Definitions
 - Add Rule 131. Performance Permit as follows:
 - 131. Performance Permit**
 - This voluntary program is available to eligible Appaloosas registered with non-characteristic (N) classification. It requires the applicant horse as well as its sire and dam to be DNA tested in order to verify parentage. Non-characteristic registered horses subsequently completing parentage verification may purchase a performance permit (see Fee Schedule), which allows them to participate in ApHC-approved or -sponsored events. When the performance permit requirements are met, the horse will receive an amended Certificate of Registration showing its parentage has been verified and that it is allowed to participate in ApHC-approved or -sponsored events.**

- Re-number current rules (Definitions) 131 through 145
- Change *"Rules 146–199 are not assigned."* to ***"Rules 147–199 are not assigned."***
- Page 52, Rule 201.I.
 - Change to read as follows:
 - The certified pedigree option (CPO) program, which allowed eligible non-characteristic horses to show in ApHC-approved or -sponsored events, was in effect from 1983 through 2006. If a horse had completed the Certified Pedigree Option, it had a CN prefix before the registration number. If non-characteristic (N) or (CN) horses developed Appaloosa characteristics and were advanced to Regular, the N or CN prefix was replaced with a pound sign (#) and the number remained the same.**
- Page 62, Rule 223.B.
 - Change to read as follows:
 - 223.B. Prior to a Performance Permit being issued to a non-characteristic (N) horse, said horse will be required to be parentage verified through DNA testing by an Appaloosa Horse Club-approved laboratory.**
- Re-letter current rules 223.B., C., D., and E.
- Change *"Rules 224–229 are not assigned."* to ***"Rules 224–236 are not assigned."***
- Pages 62, 63 and 64, Rules 230. and 231.
 - DELETE ALL
 - 230. Certified Pedigree Option (CPO) Requirements for horses born before January 1, 2002...
 - 231. Certified Pedigree Option (CPO) Requirements for horses born after January 1, 2002...
- Replace with new Rule 230.: Performance Permit To read as follows:
 - 230. Performance Permit**
 - A. Requirements for Registered Appaloosas**
 - 1. To be eligible for a Performance Permit, the horse must be registered with the ApHC in the non-characteristic (N) category.**
 - 2. The owner is required to submit to the ApHC the original Certificate of Registration, along with a properly completed Performance Permit Application, four current photographs of the horse including both sides, a direct face and rear view, and proper fees (see Fee Schedule).**

- B. Requirements for Appaloosas Pending Registration**
 - 1. A Performance Permit may be applied for upon initial application for registration.
 - 2. The owner of the foal should be sure the horse would receive a non-characteristic (N) classification when registered.
 - 3. Along with the requirements for registration, the owner must submit a properly completed Performance Permit Application and the proper Performance Permit fee (see Fee Schedule).
- C. Non-characteristic (N) horses** subsequently applying for and receiving a Performance Permit can participate in ApHC-approved events if they meet the following requirements:
 - 1. Any horse for which a Performance Permit is sought must be parentage verified via DNA testing and the results filed with the ApHC.
 - 2. The dam of any horse for which a Performance Permit is sought must be DNA tested and the results filed with the ApHC.
 - 3. The sire of any horse for which a Performance Permit is sought must be DNA tested and the results filed with the ApHC.

NOTE: The sire, dam, and foal must all have DNA results on file with the ApHC.
- D. The owner must pay all expenses for the Performance Permit application and the parentage verification of the applicant horse.**
- E. The method of testing for parentage verification of such horse must be DNA testing for any such horse, its dam and its sire, and when the parentage of any such horse is verified and the requirements of this rule are met to the satisfaction of the Registrar, the horse will receive an amended Certificate of Registration as hereinafter provided, showing the parentage has been verified and the Performance Permit has been issued. The Performance Permit is good for the life of the horse, regardless of ownership changes.**
- F. Non-characteristic (N) horses that have applied for and received a Performance Permit, and that are used for breeding purposes, must be bred to a Regular (#) registered Appaloosa to make the resulting foal eligible for registration with the ApHC.**
- G. The decision of the Registrar on all Performance Permit applicant horses is final; however, the owner of a horse shall have the right to appeal in accordance with the Registration Appeal Procedures.**
- H. Enrollment in this program is entirely voluntary.**
- I. The burden of proving entitlement for this program rests solely with the applicant.**

- J. All DNA testing and/or any related identification procedures must prove parentage as evidenced by Certificate of Registration issued by ApHC or the Certificate will automatically be canceled. If a non-characteristic (N) horse fails to meet the requirements for a Performance Permit after requesting such permit, then the non-characteristic (N) Certificate of Registration will be automatically canceled or returned to owner.**
- K. The ApHC reserves the right to suspend, revoke or correct the issued Certificate of Registration and the entry of the named horse in accordance with the Protest, Inspection and Appeal Procedures set forth in the *Official Handbook of the ApHC*.**

Rules 231–235 are not assigned.

- Page 77, Rule 270. Protest Appeal Procedures of Registrar’s Decision
 Change 270.C.1., 270.C.2., 270.C.3. and 270.D. to read as follows:
 C. If the horse is protested because of its Regular registration status, the owner may elect within 15 days after filing the appeal and paying the appeal fee, to ~~enter the horse in the CPO Program;~~ **apply for an Appaloosa Horse Club Performance Permit**, if determined eligible by the Registrar.
 - 1. The owner must then make the sire’s, dam’s and foal’s DNA available for **parentage verification** ~~CPO process~~ **and purchase a Performance Permit** within sixty (60) days of filing said appeal.
 - 2. The owner may then waive the hearing provided for in this rule, until the **parentage verification** ~~CPO process~~ has been completed.
 - 3. If the protested horse completes the ~~CPO program,~~ **parentage verification and purchases the Performance Permit**, the protest will be dismissed and the appeal fee refunded.
 D. During the pendency of the appeal and/or ~~CPO application process,~~ **parentage verification and purchase of Performance Permit**, any points or awards earned or subsequently earned, will be escrowed pending completion of the appeal and/or ~~CPO application process~~ parentage verification and purchase of Performance Permit.
- Page 79, Rule 272.D.1. Identification for National Events. Change to read as follows:
 D. An official ApHC Inspector shall be available for such purposes at the time of such shows or sales.
 - 1. The inspector may, in the inspector’s sole discretion, refuse to allow a horse to participate in such show or sale if the registration of the horse appears invalid or otherwise improperly

issued. The inspector may, for example, refuse to permit the horse to participate in such show or sale if the horse does not display Appaloosa characteristics so as to be eligible for Regular registration, unless the horse has ~~a CPO Certificate of Registration~~ **applied for and received a Performance Permit** and is otherwise eligible for registration.

- Page 90, Rule 408.D. Race Awards: Medallions
Change to read as follows:

D. Racing medallions will be issued to the top three eligible horses in each age and sex category. Medallion points will be figured using a formula of the number of wins times the amount of money earned, as officially recorded by the ApHC, for the qualifying year. ~~Any horse born on or after January 1, 2002 that does not have a Regular (#) or CPO (CN) Certificate of Registration will not be eligible to earn a medallion.~~ **A horse must be registered with Regular (#) or CPO (CN) registration classification, or have applied for and received a Performance Permit prior to racing in the qualifying year, to be eligible to earn racing medallions.** Racing medallions are awarded to the first listed owner or lessee on record as of December 31 of the qualifying year.

- Page 99, Rule 454. Competitive and Endurance Program

Change to read as follows:

A. All Appaloosas must be ~~regularly or CPO~~ registered **with Regular (#) or CPO (CN) registration classification, or have applied for and received a Performance Permit prior to the qualifying ride year**, and must be a minimum of five (5) years old. See DISTANCE PROGRAM for membership requirements.

- Page 100, Rule 455. 1,000 Mile Award

Change to read as follows:

A. The 1,000 mile award is for the endurance or competitive Appaloosa. It is awarded every 1,000 miles to horses completing mileage in approved endurance or competitive rides. Mileage from one category does not count toward the other. All Appaloosas must be ~~Regularly or CPO~~ registered with **Regular (#) or CPO (CN) registration classification, or have applied for and received a Performance Permit prior to the qualifying ride year**, and must be a minimum of five (5) years old. All horses competing for the 1,000 Mile Award must be owned by active members of the ApHC.

- Page 121. Rules 607.A. and C. Eligibility To Show
Change to read as follows:

607. ELIGIBILITY TO SHOW

A. In ApHC-approved shows, only horses, including current-year foals, that are ApHC-registered with **Regular (#) or CPO (CN) registration classification, or that have applied for and received a Performance Permit prior to the show** registered with the ApHC in the Foundation, Permanent, Tentative, or CPO registry are eligible to participate. ~~Those receiving an N (non-characteristic) Certificate of Registration stamped, "Not eligible for show or exhibition in ApHC approved events" are not eligible to show at any approved show.~~ Horses registered in any other Appaloosa registry either in the United States or in any other country are not eligible to show in any approved show, unless also registered with the ApHC. The exhibitor must be able to prove that the horse is properly registered with the ApHC and **eligible to show**. Show management must inspect the Certificate of Registration (if photocopies are used, they must be of both sides of the certificate) of each horse entered in all approved, optional, youth and Non-pro classes. Entries shall be made in the name of the current recorded owner or owners as indicated by records in the ApHC office and on the Certificate of Registration presented.

C. No **ApHC-registered horse carrying Regular (#) or CPO (CN) registration classification, or that has applied for and received a Performance Permit prior to the show** with a ~~CPO Certificate of Registration~~ can be denied the right to participate in any approved race, show or contest, if otherwise eligible. The ApHC will not approve any such races, shows or contests.

- Page 136, Rule 702.H.5. Medallion Awards System
Change to read as follows:

5. In the field of racing, medallions will be issued to the top three eligible horses in each age and sex category. Medallion points will be figured using a formula of the number of wins times the amount of money earned as officially recorded by the Appaloosa Horse Club for the qualifying year. ~~Any horse born on or after January 1, 2002 that does not have a regular (#) or CPO (CN) Certificate of Registration will not be eligible to earn a medallion~~ **A horse must be registered with Regular (#) or CPO (CN) registration classification, or have applied for and received a Performance Permit prior to racing in the qualifying year, to be eligible to earn racing medallions.** Racing medallions are awarded to the first listed owner or lessee on record on December 31 of the qualifying year.

- Page 144, Rule 713.B. Most Colorful At Halter
Change to read as follows:
B. Most Colorful At Halter
1. Class Conduct: a. Horses to be judged 60% on color, 40% on conformation, action, substance, quality and manners. **Horses registered with CPO (CN) or N (non-characteristic) registration classification** ~~CN-Registered horses~~ are ineligible to compete in Most Colorful at Halter.

- Page 144, Rule 713.D.3. Group Halter Classes
This can stay the same, as an N horse can qualify but will not need to purchase a Performance Permit.

- Page 272, Information Request Order Form
~~Certified Pedigree Option (CPO)~~ Information for eligible horses.

Performance Permit Application

Intent: To address the issue of showing/competing N-solids with a show rule, to reward showing/competing of colored horses, to simplify procedures for members.

Made by Laura Lyon. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Larry Baker</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Lisa Banks</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Eric Beené</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Eddie Buchanan</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Gene Carr</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Gary Connolly*</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Dennis Dean</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Don Ehlers</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Norb Hansen</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Jim Jirkovsky</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Frank Larrabee</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Debby Letham</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Laura Lyon</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Chuck McWhirter</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Glenn Popelka</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>David Schjeldahl</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Skip Seifert</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Jack Zuidema</i> | <i>Yes</i> |

*Gary Connolly voted Yes on the condition that the fee schedule is reviewed prior to final approval.

Wiebe Lise, International Delegate, went on the record and advised the ApHC Board of Directors that the European membership is against this proposal, and if he were a voting member of the board, he would vote No.

ApHC President Frank Larrabee, after conferring with ApHC Counsel, Ron Landeck, for clarification under Robert's Rules of Order, cast his vote to create a tie.

Failed (Tie vote)

(This disapproval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #27-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #03** to amend Rule 715.H. to read:

Rule 715.H.
Western Gaits

1. The following terminology shall apply in all Western classes whenever a specific gait is called for:

a. The WALK is a natural, flat-footed, four-beat gait. The horse must move straight and true at the walk. The walk must be alert, with a stride of reasonable length in keeping with the size of the horse.

Poor Walk: This is a horse with an uneven pace and no cadence. He has a robotic appearance and hesitates. He has no flow and may appear intimidated or appear to march.

Average Walk: This is a horse with a four-beat gait and a level top-line, and that appears relaxed. This done correctly is average.

Good Walk: This is a horse with a four-beat gait, level top-line and a relaxed appearance yet is bright and attentive. He flows and is soft touching the ground.

b. The JOG-TROT is a smooth, ground-covering two-beat diagonal gait. The horse works from one pair of diagonals to the other pair. The jog-trot should be square, balanced and with a straight, forward movement of the feet. Horses walking with their back feet and trotting on the front are not considered to be performing the required gait. When asked to extend the jog, the horse moves out with the same smooth way of going.

Unacceptable Jog: This is a horse that cannot perform a two-beat gait and appears very uncomfortable in his attempt to accomplish the gait. He does not have any flow or balance in his motion and appears uncomfortable to ride.

Poor Jog: This is a horse that hesitates or skips a beat in his two-beat motion. He does not keep an even and balanced motion with a level top-line. A horse must have a true two-beat gait to be average. This horse appears to shuffle.

Slightly Below-Average Jog: This is a horse with an average motion but exhibits negative characteristics in his performance. Some of the negative characteristics may be walking with the hind legs, dragging the rear toes as he jogs, or taking an uneven length of stride with front and rear legs.

Correct or Average Jog: This is a horse with a two-beat diagonal gait in which the left front and right hind foot touch the ground simultaneously, as do the right front and left hind. He has a level top-line and a relaxed appearance while being shown with light contact and appears to guide well. This is the standard or average jog.

Good Jog: This is a horse with an average motion but exhibits positive characteristics in his performance. Some of these positive characteristics may be balance and self-carriage while taking the same length of stride with the front and rear legs.

Very Good Jog: This is a horse that appears very comfortable to ride, always has a consistent two-beat gait, is guiding well, and has a relaxed and level top-line. He may let his hocks drift back into his tail from time to time, or bend his knee a little, but is obviously soft on the ground.

Excellent Jog: This is a horse whose motions seem effortless and very efficient. He swings his legs, yet touches the ground very softly. This horse is confident, yet still soft in his motion. He is balanced and under control. He is flat with his knee and hock and has some cushion in his pastern. His expression is bright and alert and he exhibits more lift and self-carriage than the “very good jog.”

c. MODERATE EXTENDED JOG

Poor Extended Jog: This horse never appears to lengthen his stride but just jogs faster. He may also appear to be jarring and rough to ride.

Average Extended Jog: This is a horse who, when asked to extend, moves up in his pace and appears smooth to sit on.

Good Extended Jog: This is a horse who, when asked to extend, has an obvious lengthening in his stride with a slight increase in his pace making him more versatile. This horse is still smooth but appears to have more length with less effort being exerted.

d. The LOPE is an easy, rhythmical three-beat gait. Horses moving to the left should lope on the left lead. Horses moving to the right should lope on the right lead. Horses traveling at a four-beat gait are not considered to be performing at a proper lope. The horse should lope with a natural stride and appear relaxed and smooth. He should be ridden at a speed that is a natural way of going. Lope should be a balanced, flowing motion with self-carriage.

Unacceptable Lope: This is a horse that does not have a true three-beat gait. He has no flow, rhythm or balance, appears out of sync, and is obviously not comfortable to ride.

Poor Lope: This is a horse that may appear to have a three-beat gait but has no lift or self-carriage. This horse shuffles, has no flow, and bobs his head, giving the appearance of exerting a

great deal to perform the gait. He also does not appear comfortable to ride.

Slightly Below Average Lope: This is a horse with an average motion but exhibits negative characteristics in his performance. Some of these negative characteristics may be head bobbing, not completing the stride with the front leg, and leaving the outside hock well behind the horse’s buttocks. An over-canted horse generally displays these negative characteristics.

Correct or Average Lope: This is a horse that has a true three-beat gait, with a level top-line and very little head and neck motion. The horse has a comfortable motion and is relatively straight (not over-canted). He glides well, and has a relaxed appearance. This is the standard or average lope.

Good Lope: This is a horse with an average motion but exhibits positive characteristics in his performance. Some of these positive characteristics may be balance and self-carriage, a steady top-line, responsiveness to the rider’s aids, and a relaxed appearance.

Very Good Lope: This is a horse that appears to have more lift and flow than the average horse. He also has a strong but smooth drive from behind. He may bend his knee a little, or allow his off lead hind or drive leg to fall behind his tail, yet he still has a level top-line, exhibits self-carriage with a relaxed appearance and appears comfortable to ride.

Excellent Lope: This horse rounds his back and has a strong, deep stride and a flat swing with his front legs. He swings his legs correctly and long yet seems to do it effortlessly. He keeps a very level top-line; his hocks don’t drift behind his tail. This horse has a relaxed yet alert and confident appearance. This is a very special horse that is correct, yet soft. This horse has a great degree of lift and self-carriage.

2. TOP-LINE: The horse’s head should be carried at an angle that is natural and suitable to the horse’s conformation at all gaits.

Poor Top-Line: The horse’s head may be too high or too low. If the horse’s head is consistently higher than his eye level with his withers, his back becomes hollow and he loses his drive from behind. When his head is consistently lower than his ear, level with his wither, he becomes heavy on his forehead and has no lift or flow. In both cases the horse loses his self-carriage and appears to struggle.

Average Top-Line: A horse who generally displays a level top-line with the tip of the ear level with the wither at the lowest point or his eye level with the wither at the highest point, but is inconsistent with his head carriage.

Good Top-Line: This horse will display a level top-line with the tip of the ear level with the wither at the lowest point or his eye level with the wither at the highest point. He also displays a consistent top-line that exhibits self-carriage.

3. BACK-UP

Poor Back-Up: This horse appears resistant or heavy in front. He may gap his mouth, throw his head, or back crooked.

Average or Correct Back-Up: This horse should back straight at least one horse length quietly. This should be done smoothly with light contact and without hesitation.

Good Back-Up: This horse will display balanced and smooth flowing movements, self-carriage, and appear to be a pleasure to ride. The horse should back straight at least one horse length quietly and without gapping his mouth. This should be done smoothly with light contact and without hesitation.

4. IMPORTANT: As long as horses with naturally longer strides are performing the gaits correctly, they should not be penalized for passing other horses.

Made by Gary Connolly. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #28-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve **Rules Committee recommendation #04-A: to amend Rule 760., as follows:**

760. HERITAGE CLASS

A. To be judged on authenticity of trappings and equipment, attire of exhibitor, markings and colorful qualities of horse—the overall picture **including the exhibitor’s verbal presentation is** to be considered.

D. The class shall be worked at a walk and jog only, on the rail, both directions of the ring. The judge will then go through the line **evaluating each entry, judging authenticity of the entries.**

F. Native American personal appointments: Men and boys shall dress as American Indian (Native American) **men being chiefs, warriors or braves only. Youth boys should be dressed according to their age.** Women and girls shall dress as American Indian (Native American) women **and/or girls or princesses only.**

Add:

H. Heritage exhibitors are allowed to ride two-handed.

Made by Gary Connolly. Seconded by Jim Jirkovsky.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #29-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve the Rules Committee recommendation #05 to increase tattoo fees in Fee Schedule, from \$45 to \$55.**

Intent: To keep fees comparable to other breed registries.

Made by Gary Connolly. Seconded by Jim Jirkovsky.

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Larry Baker</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Lisa Banks</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Eric Beené</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Eddie Buchanan</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Gene Carr</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Gary Connolly</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Dennis Dean</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Don Ehlers</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Norb Hansen</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Jim Jirkovsky</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Frank Larrabee</i> | <i>Chair</i> | <i>Debby Letham</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Laura Lyon</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Chuck McWhirter</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Glenn Popelka</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>David Schjeldahl</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Skip Seifert</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Jack Zuidema</i> | <i>Yes</i> |

Passed

(Effective January 1, 2007)

Motion #30-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to approve the **Rules Committee recommendation #04B** to amend Rule 760.G. by adding: **“Narration of the costume is limited to no more than 1.5 minutes.”**

Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #31-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve the Rules Committee recommendation #06 to modify Rule 770.A.7.** by deleting **“Mechanically altered bits are illegal and shall be cause for disqualification.”**

Intent: Rule 770.A.8. already states **“A bit cannot be physically or mechanically altered to increase the severity of its use and may be eliminated at the discretion of the judge”**

Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Jim Jirkovsky.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #32-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #07 to add to Rule 745.E. that “Electronic barriers are not allowed.”**

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Gary Connolly.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #33-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #08** to include the Non-pro Yearling longe line class for National Points (for Breeders' Trust payout and other applicable award programs).

Made by Laura Lyon. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #34-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #09** by deleting "Walk/trot" from the first sentence of Rule 805.

Intent: To include walk/trot horses to be eligible for National Youth Lifetime Horse Awards.

Made by David Schjeldahl. Seconded by Jim Jirkovsky.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #35-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Breeders' Committee recommendation #15** to consider the HYPP Committee recommendation (HYPP Motion #07-03-06) for implementation; as follows:

Any foal submitted for registration after January 1, 2008, in the ApHC, whose sire and/or dam is a descendant of the AQHA sire Impressive #, as noted on the AQHA Certificate of Registration, will be required to be DNA parentage verified and HYPP tested from the same sample as a condition of registration. Any foal that tests HYPP H/H will not be accepted for registration and all other foals (N/N and N/H) will have the test results noted on the ApHC Certificate of Registration. To be effective January 1, 2007.

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Gene Carr.
Tabled. See Motion #36-04-06

Motion #36-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to table Motion #36-04-06 (recommendation #15 from the Breeders' Committee).

Made by David Schjeldahl. Seconded by Jack Zuidema.
Passed Unanimously. Motion #35-04-06 tabled.

Motion #37-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to amend Motion #15-07-04 to amend Rule 225. to change the implementation date to January 1, 2009, as follows:

Foals of **2009** and later, tracing to the stallion Impressive (AQHA) **other than from parents verified from testing to be N/N**, are required to be parentage verified and, in addition, **DNA tested for HYPP.** Any foal of **2009** and later testing positive for HYPP ("H/H") will not be eligible for registration with the ApHC.

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Gene Carr.
Passed Unanimously

(This rule change will become effective January 1, 2007)

Motion #38-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to adopt Rules Committee recommendation #16 to add a definition of cloning as Rule 112., as below:

112. CLONING

Cloning, as applied to horses, is defined as any method by which the genetic material of an unfertilized egg or an embryo is removed or replaced by genetic material taken from another organism, added to/with genetic material from another organism or otherwise modified by any means in order to produce a live foal.

Renumber Rules 112-145

Made by Laura Lyon. Seconded by Jim Jirkovsky.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #39-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to adopt Rules Committee recommendation #18 to:

1. Rescind Board Motion #14-07-04, which read: The board of directors approves the following portion only of Board Motion #15-03-04 from the March 2004 meeting, as amended, to be included in Rule 225.A.: That all ApHC foals of ~~2007~~ 2008 and later be DNA tested for identification and that the ApHC CEO be authorized to randomly and/or selectively test foals for parentage verification.
2. Amend Rule 223.D. to read "The ApHC Registrar is authorized to randomly and/or selectively require parentage verification through DNA testing of ApHC registered horses."

Made by Laura Lyon. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #40-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **adopt Rules Committee recommendation #19** of creating a new Rule 223.G. as follows:

"The owner of any horse, for which application is made for registration and which cannot be parentage verified due to unavailability of DNA type of sire or dam shall have the right to make written request that the ApHC Registrar consider allowing use of alternative verification method(s), and the ApHC Registrar, in the Registrar's sole discretion, may determine that use of alternative verification method(s) are acceptable to the ApHC in the registration of any such horse."

Made by David Schjeldahl. Seconded by Laura Lyon.
Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #41-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #20** to amend Rule 713., with amendments highlighted as follows:

713. HALTER CLASSES

A. Halter Class General Rules (apply to all halter sex and age divisions, most colorful at halter, FPD halter and group halter classes, unless otherwise stated)

1. Class Conduct:
 - a. Horses shall enter ring and be lined up at the discretion of the judge. Entries shall be judged individually standing and also at a walk and trot on the line. Horses shall be led directly to and away from the judge. Additionally, the judge shall inspect the trot from a direct side angle. Horses will be lined up head to tail for individual inspection by the judge. **In all halter classes and judging of grand and reserve champion**, the judge shall inspect each horse from the front, rear and both sides. Horses should stand squarely and naturally and are not to be stretched.
 - b. Emphasis shall be placed on type, conformation, action, substance, quality and manners. Transmissible weakness and/or conditions as follows shall be counted strongly against animals, such as impaired vision, parrot mouth, ringbone, spavin, thoroughpins, curb, and bowed tendons. **Stallions two years old and older shall have both testicles visibly descended. Monorchids and cryptorchids shall be considered an undesirable trait and judged accordingly.**
2. Halter classes shall not be combined to include horses of different ages or sexes, **except in most colorful at halter and group halter classes.**

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Laura Lyon.

Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #42-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #21** to add Rule 790.H.: *“In hunt seat equitation classes, the trot and canter patterns cover a minimum of 60 feet. In western equitation classes, the patterns must be set so that the lope portions of the patterns cover a minimum of 60 feet.”*

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Gene Carr.

Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #43-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #22** to divide Non-pro and Open longe line into Western and English classes at the World Show beginning with the 2006 World Show.

Made by Glenn Popelka. Seconded by Jim Jirkovsky.

Passed Unanimously

(Effective immediately)

Motion #44-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #24 to amend Rule 716., as follows:**

1. The third and fourth sentences of Rule 716.F.8., *“Props are permitted but at no time may they hinder the judges’ view of the horse. The use of props will not add to the score.”* be moved to Rule 716.F.12.
2. The sentence *“only one horse allowed in the arena during each presentation”* be deleted from Rule 716.F.12.
3. The sentence *“Show management reserves the right to rule on props in addition to music and dress”* be added to Rule 716.F.9.

Made by Jim Jirkovsky. Seconded by Gene Carr.

Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #45-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #26 amend Rule 724., as follows:**

1. Amend the first sentence of Rule 724.C. by adding *“A maximum of 30 poles and/or rails may be used in constructing obstacles.”*
2. Amend Rule 724.I. by deleting subsections 5 and 7; delete *“dry ice”* from subsection 9; reword subsection 7 to read *“bridges rocking or moving in excess of 4 inches”*; and subsection 6 to read *“jumps exceeding 12 inches.”*

Made by Laura Lyon. Seconded by Jim Jirkovsky.

Passed Unanimously

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #46-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Rules Committee recommendation #27** that the ApHC Board of Directors review the ApHC drug policies to determine if sanctions and penalties currently in place are in parity with other breed association standards through the appointment by the ApHC President of an ad hoc committee which will include Directors Jack Zuidema, Lisa Banks and

Debby Letham, members Paul Marx DVM and Sharon Marshall DVM, and staff to be determined by ApHC CEO.

Made by Gary Connolly. Seconded by Laura Lyon.

Passed Unanimously

Motion #47-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Finance Committee recommendation #01** to support the Nez Perce Trail Foundation with continued corporate membership of \$100 annually.

Made by Jack Zuidema. Seconded by Eddie Buchanan.

Passed Unanimously

Motion #48-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Finance Committee recommendation #02** that up to six (6) international youth receive in-kind support from the ApHC to attend and participate at the 2006 World Youth Show with primary support to come from a USLGE grant, host American families, and the families of the international youth. **In-kind support from the ApHC will include:**

1. Waiver of horse ownership requirements; and
2. Waiver of entry fees for three (3) youth classes per youth at this event.

Made by Eddie Buchanan. Seconded by Larry Baker.

Passed Unanimously

Motion #49-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Finance Committee recommendation #03** to reduce the annual transported semen stallion permit fee and fine as set forth in Rule 223B.3. by 50% effective January 1, 2008.

Made by Eddie Buchanan. Seconded by Larry Baker.

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <i>Larry Baker</i>Yes | <i>Lisa Banks*</i>No |
| <i>Eric Beené</i>Yes | <i>Eddie Buchanan</i>Yes |
| <i>Gene Carr</i>No | <i>Gary Connolly</i>Yes |
| <i>Dennis Dean*</i>No | <i>Don Ehlers*</i>No |
| <i>Norb Hansen*</i>Yes | <i>Jim Jirkovsky*</i>No |
| <i>Frank Larrabee</i>Chair | <i>Debby Letham*</i>No |
| <i>Laura Lyon*</i>No | <i>Chuck McWhirter</i>Yes |
| <i>Glenn Popelka*</i>No | <i>David Schjeldahl</i>Yes |
| <i>Skip Seifert</i>Yes | <i>Jack Zuidema*</i>Yes |

*Lisa Banks, Dennis Dean, Don Ehlers, Jim Jirkovsky, Debby Letham, Laura Lyon and Glenn Popelka voted No because they feel the fees should be eliminated entirely. Jack Zuidema and Norb Hansen voted Yes because they feel this is a good-faith effort to compromise on the fees.

Passed. Reconsidered (see Motion #51-04-06). *Amended* (see Motion #52-04-06). *Approved as Amended* (see Motion #53-04-06).

Motion #50-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Finance Committee recommendation #04** to

approve the FYE 2007 budget as submitted.

Made by Eddie Buchanan. Seconded by Jack Zuidema.

Passed Unanimously

Motion #51-04-06: The ApHC Board of Directors moves to reconsider Motion #49-04-06.

Made by David Schjeldahl. Seconded by Chuck McWhirter.

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <i>Larry Baker</i>Yes | <i>Lisa Banks</i>Yes |
| <i>Eric Beené</i>No | <i>Eddie Buchanan</i>No |
| <i>Gene Carr</i>Yes | <i>Gary Connolly</i>No |
| <i>Dennis Dean</i>Yes | <i>Don Ehlers</i>Yes |
| <i>Norb Hansen</i>No | <i>Jim Jirkovsky</i>Yes |
| <i>Frank Larrabee</i>Chair | <i>Debby Letham</i>Yes |
| <i>Laura Lyon</i>Yes | <i>Chuck McWhirter</i>No |
| <i>Glenn Popelka</i>No | <i>David Schjeldahl</i>Yes |
| <i>Skip Seifert</i>No | <i>Jack Zuidema</i>No |

Passed

Motion #52-04-06:

The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **amend Motion #49-04-06 to delete transported stallion semen fee and fine** under Rule 223.B.3. effective January 1, 2008.

Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Laura Lyon.

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <i>Larry Baker</i>Yes | <i>Lisa Banks</i>Yes |
| <i>Eric Beené</i>No | <i>Eddie Buchanan</i>No |
| <i>Gene Carr</i>Yes | <i>Gary Connolly</i>No |
| <i>Dennis Dean</i>Yes | <i>Don Ehlers</i>Yes |
| <i>Norb Hansen</i>No | <i>Jim Jirkovsky</i>Yes |
| <i>Frank Larrabee</i>Chair | <i>Debby Letham</i>Yes |
| <i>Laura Lyon</i>Yes | <i>Chuck McWhirter</i>No |
| <i>Glenn Popelka</i>No | <i>David Schjeldahl</i>Yes |
| <i>Skip Seifert</i>No | <i>Jack Zuidema</i>No |

Passed

Motion #53-04-06:

The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Motion #49-04-06 as amended** (see Motion #52-04-06).

Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Laura Lyon.

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <i>Larry Baker</i>Yes | <i>Lisa Banks</i>Yes |
| <i>Eric Beené</i>No | <i>Eddie Buchanan</i>No |
| <i>Gene Carr</i>Yes | <i>Gary Connolly</i>No |
| <i>Dennis Dean</i>Yes | <i>Don Ehlers</i>Yes |
| <i>Norb Hansen</i>No | <i>Jim Jirkovsky</i>Yes |
| <i>Frank Larrabee</i>Chair | <i>Debby Letham</i>Yes |
| <i>Laura Lyon</i>Yes | <i>Chuck McWhirter</i>No |
| <i>Glenn Popelka</i>No | <i>David Schjeldahl</i>Yes |
| <i>Skip Seifert</i>No | <i>Jack Zuidema</i>No |

Passed

(This approval motion will be considered at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting as a rule change.)

Motion #54-04-06:

The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve Motion #39-07-05 from July 2005 Board Meeting “to change Rule 216.A. to eliminate the word “spayed” and add a sentence at the end of the rule to read: Any such mare to be registered under this rule shall have DNA on file with ApHC and shall**

not be eligible for breeding and the mare's certificate of registration shall contain the designation "not eligible for breeding."

Made by Eric Beené. Seconded by Skip Seifert.

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Larry Baker.....Yes | Lisa Banks.....Yes |
| Eric Beené.....Yes | Eddie Buchanan.....No |
| Gene Carr.....Yes | Gary Connolly.....Yes |
| Dennis Dean.....Yes | Don Ehlers.....No |
| Norb Hansen.....No | Jim Jirkovsky.....No |
| Frank Larrabee.....Chair | Debby Letham.....No |
| Laura Lyon.....Yes | Chuck McWhirter.....No |
| Glenn Popelka*.....Yes | David Schjeldahl.....No |
| Skip Seifert.....Yes | Jack Zuidema.....Yes |

*Glenn Popelka voted No because he feels the fees should be increased.

Passed

(This rule change will become effective January 1, 2007)

Motion #55-04-06:

The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **table definitely until July 2006 ApHC Board of Directors meeting attached Motions #42-07-05 and #43-07-05** from the July 2005 Board of Directors meeting.

Motion #42-07-05: The board of directors moves to **amend recommendation #2 (motion 39-07-05) of the Breeders' Committee as follows:**

1. Change date references from January 1, 2007, to January 1, 2008, and from December 31, 2006, to December 31, 2007
2. Establish Outcross (O) advancement fees at \$250 with ROM, and \$500 without ROM
3. Establish additional Outcross (O) registration fee of \$50, and
4. Require use of advancement and outcross fees each fiscal year for marketing efforts to promote efforts to promote color in the Appaloosa breed.

Motion #43-07-05: The board of directors moves to **adopt the following rule changes** to take effect on January 1, 2008:

1. Rule 201.H. is deleted and replaced as follows:
201.H. Non-characteristic horses registered between January 1, 1983, and December 31, 2007, that did not display the necessary characteristics to receive Regular registration have an "N" prefix listed before their registration number.
2. Rule 201.I. is deleted and replaced as follows:
Rule 201.I. Prior to January 1, 2008, horses completing the Certified Pedigree Option (CPO) have a "CN" prefix listed before their registration number.
3. Rule 201.J. is added as follows:
201.J. Non-characteristic horses foaled on or after January 1, 2008, having (i) one (1) parent

with an ApHC registration classification of Regular (#) and (ii) one (1) parent with any ApHC registration classification, that do not display the necessary characteristics to receive Regular (#) registration, will have an "AN" prefix listed before the registration number.

4. Rule 201.K. is added as follows:
201.K. Horses foaled on or after January 1, 2008, having (i) one (1) parent with an ApHC registration classification of Regular (#) and (ii) one (1) parent registered with one of the approved breed associations listed in these rules, will be registered as Outcross (O) and have an "O" prefix listed before the registration number.
5. Paragraphs C. and D. of Rule 203. are moved from Rule 203. and added to Rule 201.C. The remainder of former Rule 203. is deleted.
6. Rule 238. is changed to Rule 203. and amended as follows:

Former rule 238.A. is deleted and replaced by new Rule 203.A.:

Horses registered as "O", "N", "AN", "CN" or Breeding Stock may subsequently develop Appaloosa characteristics and the owner may then request the registration classification of the horse be advanced to Regular (#) registration. Horses registered as Outcross (O) may display the necessary characteristics to receive Regular (#) registration and the owner may request the registration classification of the horse be advanced to Regular (#) registration. The registration classification of any such horse shall be advanced to Regular (#) registration upon compliance with this rule and all applicable ApHC registration rules. An owner may request advancement by submitting a properly completed advancement form supplied by the ApHC, the required nonrefundable fee, required photographs, and the horse's original Certificate of Registration, to the Registration Department.

Required photographs include four current colored photographs, including both sides, a direct face and a rear view, clearly showing all face and leg markings, and coat pattern if present. Close-up photographs depicting mottled skin and one other characteristic must be submitted if there is not an Appaloosa coat pattern present. Paragraph E. is added to new Rule 203., as follows:

E. The owner of a horse registered as Outcross (O) otherwise meeting all requirements for advancement to Regular (#) registration must achieve an ROM and pay an

advancement fee or, in lieu of achieving the ROM, pay an increased advancement fee, as set forth in the Fee Schedule section of the then current *Official Handbook of the ApHC*, prior to advancement of the horse to Regular (#) registration.

7. Rule 204.A. is amended as follows:
The terms “AN” and “O” are added before the letter “N.”
8. Rules 230. and 231. are deleted.
9. Rule 225. is amended as follows:
Paragraph A. is deleted and replaced as follows:
A. All foals must be parentage verified through DNA testing of the foal, sire and dam on the basis of written analysis filed with the ApHC from an ApHC-approved laboratory using ApHC-approved testing procedures, and, in addition to other ApHC registration rules, a foal is not registerable unless or until all such information is on file with the ApHC.
10. All references in the ApHC rules to blood typing or other means of identification of horses shall be replaced with reference to “DNA testing on the basis of written analysis filed with the ApHC from an ApHC-approved laboratory using ApHC-approved testing procedures.”
11. Rule 607.A. shall be amended as follows:
The first sentence shall be deleted and replaced as follows:
A. In ApHC-approved and -sponsored shows and events, only horses, including current-year foals, registered with the ApHC in Regular (#), AN, Outcross (O), Foundation, Permanent, Tentative and CPO classifications are eligible to participate.
12. Delete the definitions set forth in Rules 108, 109, 120, 126 and 137.

Made by Laura Lyon. Seconded by Glenn Popelka.

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Larry Baker</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Lisa Banks</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Eric Beené</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>Eddie Buchanan</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Gene Carr</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Gary Connolly</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Dennis Dean</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Don Ehlers</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Norb Hansen</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Jim Jirkovsky</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Frank Larrabee</i> | <i>Chair</i> | <i>Debby Letham</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Laura Lyon</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Chuck McWhirter</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Glenn Popelka</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>David Schjeldahl</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Skip Seifert</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>Jack Zuidema</i> | <i>Yes</i> |

Passed

(The approval motions tabled definitely to the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting will be considered as rule changes at the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting.)

Motion #56-04-06:

The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **approve attached Motion #45-07-05** from the July 2005 Board of Directors meeting.

Motion #45-07-05: The board of directors moves to adopt recommendation #6 from the Planning and Review Committee, “*that the BOD move to implement the **Appaloosa By Color Recognition Program** with necessary changes by 2007 and the ApHC staff and legal counsel shall prepare proposed documentation, including any necessary rule changes for consideration at March 2006 BOD meeting.*”

Intent: To embrace the population of colored Appaloosas that fall outside the current registration rules and bring them within the influence of the ApHC.

Made by Skip Seifert. Seconded by David Schjeldahl.
Tabled (See Motion #57-04-06)

Motion #57-04-06:

The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **table definitely Motion #45-07-05** to the July 2006 Board of Directors meeting.
Made by Laura Lyon. Seconded by Debby Letham.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #58-04-06:

The ApHC Board of Directors moves that **hardship fees** for unspayed mares **be increased** to \$300 and hardship fees for geldings and spayed mares be increased to \$100 effective January 1, 2007.
Made by Debby Letham. Seconded by Dennis Dean.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #59-04-06:

The ApHC Board of Directors moves to make verified **ApHC pedigree access available to members, online**, as soon as possible. The ApHC Board of Directors and CEO will discuss programming priorities to determine implementation date, fees and access.
Made by David Schjeldahl. Seconded by Debby Letham.
Passed Unanimously

Motion #60-04-06:

The ApHC Board of Directors moves to **schedule the next ApHC Board of Directors and Committee meetings for July 9, 10 and 11, 2006, in Oklahoma City**. The membership meeting will be scheduled for July 7, 2006, at the 2006 National Show.
Made by Eric Beené. Seconded by Chuck McWhirter.
Passed Unanimously